SUNSHINE COAST REGIONAL DISTRICT STAFF REPORT

TO: Finance Committee (Round 1 Budget) – November 25 and 26, 2024

AUTHOR: Shane Walkey, Manager, Utility Services

SUBJECT: 2025 ROUND 1 BUDGET PROPOSAL FOR WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS [381 -

395]

RECOMMENDATION(S)

THAT the report titled 2025 Round 1 Budget Proposal for Wastewater Treatment Plants [381 - 395] be received for information.

BACKGROUND

As part of the Budget Process staff report potential budget adjustments to the Board. Budget Proposals provide the detail to support the potential adjustment and allow the Board to make informed decisions regarding funding projects or service enhancements, as well as ways to reduce the budget.

DISCUSSION

2025 R1 Budget Proposals

1	Function Number – Project Title:	[387] Square Bay Wastewater Treatment Plant Base Budget Increase (Realignment Capital to Operating (Addressed through Nov. 21 Rate Report)
	Risk Factor:	MEDIUM: Normal - Service Level Impact
	Category:	Non-Mandatory Base Budget Increase Requests
	Geographic Areas Affected:	В
	2025 Funding Required:	\$6,000
	Funding Source(s):	User Fees
	Rationale / Service Impacts:	The Square Bay Wastewater Treatment Plant underwent a major rebuild a few years ago. Since its commissioning, staff have focused on minimizing infiltration, improving their operational expertise, and optimizing the plant's efficiency. These efforts have led to reduced staff time and fewer emergency callouts, resulting in a \$6,000

		surplus in staff costs. Despite these operational gains and the subsequent reduction in staff time and emergency interventions, other costs have continued to rise. Specifically, expenses related to contracted services, small machinery, telecommunications, and electricity have increased. These rising costs are putting pressure on the Base Budget, which needs to be adjusted to accommodate these new financial demands.
		To address this issue, staff recommend a strategic reallocation of the \$6,000 surplus, derived from reduced staff time, to cover the increased Base Budget expenses associated with the rising costs. This reallocation will ensure that the plant's operational needs are met without requiring additional financial contributions from the constituents.
		Importantly, this adjustment will not impose any extra financial burden on the users. The necessary funds to cover the increased expenses will be sourced from the existing user fees, ensuring that there is no need to increase costs for residents.
	HR Implications	☐ Additional FTE ☐ Existing FTE☒ No Additional FTE or Resourcing☐ Term or Student (TIME)
	Future Financial Implications and Life Cycle Cost Breakdown	N/A
	Asset Management Implications:	2.0 O&M and Capital Planning
	Climate Action Plan Goal and Impact (if applicable)	n/a
2	Function Number – Project Title:	[392] – Roberts Creek Wastewater Treatment
		Plant Base Budget Increase (Addressed through Nov. 21 Rate Report)
	Risk Factor:	MEDIUM: Normal - Service Level Impact
	Category:	Non-Mandatory Base Budget Increase Requests
	Geographic Areas Affected:	D

	2025 Funding Required:	\$5,000					
	Funding Source(s):	The Roberts Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant has undergone several upgrades in recent years, leading to increased operational efficiency and reduced staff time requirements. These improvements have created a \$5,000 surplus in staff costs. One significant upgrade was the decision to run the aeration blowers continuously, 24/7, which, combined with the installation of carbon filters, has greatly enhanced odor control at the plant. As a result, odor complaints from nearby residents have been eliminated. However, the continuous operation of the aeration blowers has led to an increase in electrical costs, raising expenses in the Base Budget by approximately \$3,100. Considering this, along with other rising costs such as telecommunications and fees, staff recommend reallocating the \$5,000 savings from reduced staff time to cover the increased Base Budget expenses. This adjustment will not impose any additional					
	Rationale / Service Impacts:						
		costs on constituents, as it will be covered by existing User Fees.					
	HR Implications	☐ Additional FTE ☐ Existing FTE					
		☑ No Additional FTE or Resourcing					
		☐ Term or Student (TIME)					
	Future Financial Implications and Life Cycle Cost Breakdown	N/A					
	Asset Management Implications:	2.0 O&M and Capital Planning					
	Climate Action Plan Goal and Impact (if applicable)	n/a					
2	Eurotion Number - Dusingt Title	[204] Dointed Boot Western Transferred					
3	Function Number – Project Title:	[394] – Painted Boat Wastewater Treatment Plant Base Budget Increase					
	Risk Factor:	HIGH RISK: Urgent - Service Level Impact					
	Category:	Non-Mandatory Base Budget Increase Requests					
	Geographic Areas Affected:	Α					

2025 Ft	unding Required:	\$2,000				
Funding	g Source(s):	User Fees				
Rationa	nle / Service Impacts:	The Painted Boat Wastewater Treatment Facility employs a crucial UV disinfection system as part of its wastewater treatment process to ensure compliance with the Municipal Wastewater Regulation (MWR). An additional \$2,000 in annual base budget funding is to purchase replacement UV bulbs, which are vital to maintaining the effectiveness of the disinfection system and ensuring the continued safety and regulatory compliance of our treated effluent.				
HR Imp	lications	□ Additional FTE □ Existing FTE☑ No Additional FTE or Resourcing□ Term or Student (TIME)				
	Financial Implications and cle Cost Breakdown	This budget proposal allows for sufficient budget for the replacement of critical components. Funding should be sufficient until costs for replacement parts increase substantially.				
Asset M	lanagement Implications:	2.0 O&M and Capital Planning				
0	Action Plan Goal and (if applicable)	n/a				

4	Function Number – Project Title:	[386] – Lee Bay Wastewater Treatment Plant Electrical Upgrades					
	Risk Factor:	HIGH RISK: Urgent - Service Level Impact					
	Category:	Non-Mandatory Board Directed or Business Continuity					
	Geographic Areas Affected:	Α					
	2025 Funding Required:	\$110,000					
	Funding Source(s):	Capital Reserves					
	Rationale / Service Impacts:	Funds to replace the generator at the Lee Bay WWTP have been approved as part of the 2024 budget process.					
		Recently, an inspection conducted by a certified electrician related to the in 2024 approved generator project revealed that the electrical					

		components within the generator building have been significantly compromised by sewer gases. These gases have caused severe damage to the electrical systems. In addition to the immediate safety risks, the compromised infrastructure undermines the reliability of our backup power system, which is essential for emergency situations.					
		To prevent future issues and enhance the safety of the facility, the building must undergo repairs to address and mitigate sewer gas damage. This includes structural repairs, improvements to the building's ventilation system, and modifications to eliminate potential points of sewer gas entry. As part of the electrical system replacement, the communication and control systems will be upgraded.					
	HR Implications	□ Additional FTE □ Existing FTE☒ No Additional FTE or Resourcing□ Term or Student (TIME)					
	Future Financial Implications and Life Cycle Cost Breakdown	Once the electrical system is replaced, any future maintenance to it can be completed within current base budget					
	Asset Management Implications:	2.0 O&M and Capital Planning					
	Climate Action Plan Goal and Impact (if applicable)	n/a					
5	Function Number – Project Title:	[384 / 385] – Secret Cove Outfall Maintenance Phase 2					
	Risk Factor:	HIGH RISK: Urgent - Service Level Impact					
	Category:	Non-Mandatory Board Directed or Business Continuity					
	Geographic Areas Affected:	В					
	2025 Funding Required:	\$20,000					
	Funding Source(s):	Operating Reserves					
	Rationale / Service Impacts:	The Secret Cove and Jolly Roger Wastewater Treatment Plants, operating under a permit issued by the Ministry of the Environment, require ongoing maintenance of their ocean					

	Rationale / Service Impacts:	*Staff recommend deferring to 2026
		Operating Reserves - \$31,000
	Funding Source(s):	Capital Reserves - \$60,000
	2025 Funding Required:	\$91,000
	Geographic Areas Affected:	В
	Category:	Non-Mandatory Discretionary
	Risk Factor:	HIGH RISK: Urgent - Service Level Impact
6	Function Number – Project Title:	[391] – Curran Road Wastewater Treatment Plant Modifications
	puot (ii appiioabio)	
	Climate Action Plan Goal and Impact (if applicable)	n/a
	Asset Management Implications:	2.0 O&M and Capital Planning
	Life Cycle Cost Dieakdowii	2026 to bring the entire outfall into a good and safe working condition. Ongoing maintenance can be completed within the existing base budget.
	Future Financial Implications and Life Cycle Cost Breakdown	This is a second phase to improve this outfall and a third phase is most likely to be undertaken in
		☐ Term or Student (TIME)
	HR Implications	☐ Additional FTE ☐ Existing FTE ☐ No Additional FTE or Resourcing
		Phase 2, which is planned for 2025, an additional \$20,000 is required. This phased approach is proposed due to funding constraints, with the full scope of necessary maintenance estimated at \$62,000 plus ~ \$8,000 staff time. It is possible that a Phase 3 may be required to fully complete the outfall maintenance.
		outfalls. Inspection in 2022 and 2024 by a dive team identified issues with the outfall anchors and markers, including the need for replacements and adjustments to meet original installation specifications.

The Rotating Biological Contactor (RBC) system, which was installed in 1982, historically met the

wastewater treatment needs at Curran Road.

		However, since the plant was upgraded to a FAST (Fixed Activated Sludge Treatment) system in 2014, the RBC was intended to be treatment for low flows. The RBC drum shaft has broken, making it unusable and its continued presence leads to inefficiencies and incurs unnecessary operational costs. To optimize facility performance and reduce maintenance expenses, staff recommend the removal of the RBC drum system along with conducting a condition assessment of the remaining RBC infrastructure to determine the best course of action. Any potential replacement or upgrades of the RBC system may be considered in a future proposal for 2026 only if the cost-benefit analysis deems it practicable.
	HR Implications	□ Additional FTE ⊠ Existing FTE⊠ No Additional FTE or Resourcing□ Term or Student (TIME)
	Future Financial Implications and Life Cycle Cost Breakdown	This project may have a future impact on operational and maintenance costs within the service area and may result in future capital work related to asset rehabilitation or replacement on site.
	Asset Management Implications:	4.0 Understanding the Asset Lifecycle
		This project includes a condition assessment of the asset to confirm the feasibility of installing a new RBC unit.
	Climate Action Plan Goal and Impact (if applicable)	n/a
7	Function Number – Project Title:	Rate Stabilization ([382] [386] [391])
,		1205 016001760011007113001139111

7	Function Number – Project Title:	Rate Stabilization ([382],[386],[391])
	Risk Factor:	LOW: Minimal / None
	Category:	Non-Mandatory Discretionary
	Geographic Areas Affected:	A, B and E
	2025 Funding Required:	Woodcreek [382]-\$1,274
		Lee Bay [386]- \$7,618

Staff Report to Finance Committee – November 25-26, 2024 2025 Round 1 Budget Proposal for Wastewater Treatment Plants [381-395] Page 8 of 10

	Curran [391] - \$978				
Funding Source(s):	Operating Reserves				
Rationale / Service Impacts:	Due to high Operating Reserves staff have recommended supplementing 2025 Operating budget with Operating Reserves rather than raise rates.				
HR Implications	☐ Additional FTE ☒ Existing FTE☒ No Additional FTE or Resourcing☐ Term or Student (TIME)				
Future Financial Implications and Life Cycle Cost Breakdown	n/a				
Asset Management Implications:	3.0 Guiding Effective Decisions				
Climate Action Plan Goal and Impact (if applicable)	n/a				

Financial Implications

Five-Year Capital Reserve Plan (or longer, if applicable) (use table illustrating capital contributions and expenditures, if avail											
386 - Lee Bay	2	2025		2026		2027		2028		2029	
Item	Amount		Amount		Amount		Amount		Amount		
Opening Balance in reserve	\$	359,654	\$	299,493	\$	370,245	\$	449,797	\$	529,349	
Contributions Surplus	\$	49,809	\$	70,752	\$	79,552	\$	79,552	\$	79,552	
2025 Proposals	-\$	109,970	9	5 -	\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		
Other	\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		
Closing Balance in Reserve	\$	299,493	\$	370,245	\$	449,797	\$	529,349	\$	608,901	
391 - Curran Road	2	2025	:	2026		2027		2028		2029	
Item	An	nount	Amount		Amount		Amount		Amount		
Opening Balance in reserve	\$	90,219	\$	58,562	\$	65,632	\$	59,607	\$	53,582	
Contributions Surplus	\$	28,343	\$	7,070	-\$	6,025	-\$	6,025	\$	36,216	
2025 Proposals		60,000	\$	5 -	;	\$ -	,	-	,	5 -	
Other \$ -		9	5 -	,	\$ -	,	-	,	5 -		
Closing Balance in Reserve	\$	58,562	\$	65,632	\$	59,607	\$	53,582	\$	89,798	

Five-Year Operating Reserve Plan (or longer, if applicable) (use table illustrating capital contributions and expenditures, if available)

384 - Woodcreek Park	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	
Item	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	
Opening Balance in Reserve	\$ 98,714	\$ 125,429	\$ 120,401	\$ 114,780	\$ 109,159	
2024 Operating Surplus	\$ 29,639	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
2025 Budget Proposals	-\$ 1,774	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Contribution to Reserve	-\$ 1,150	-\$ 5,028	-\$ 5,621	-\$ 5,621	\$ 24,625	
Closing Balance in Reserve	\$ 125,429	\$ 120,401	\$ 114,780	\$ 109,159	\$ 133,784	

384 - Jolly Roger	2025		2026		2027		2028		2029					
Item	1	Amount	Amount		nt Amount Amoun		Amount		Amount		ount Amount Ar		An	ount
Opening Balance in Reserve	\$	26,078	\$	23,781	\$	20,602	\$	17,153	\$	13,704				
2024 Operating Surplus	\$	10,089	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-				
2025 Budget Proposals	-\$	10,500	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-				
Contribution to Reserve	-\$	1,886	-\$	3,179	-\$	3,449	-\$	3,449	\$	10,297				
Closing Balance in Reserve	\$	23,781	\$	20,602	\$	17,153	\$	13,704	\$	24,001				

385 - Secret Cove		2025 2026 2027		2028		2029						
Item	A	mount	An	Amount		Amount		Amount		Amount		ount
Opening Balance in Reserve	\$	27,633	\$	18,980	\$	14,920	\$	10,588	\$	6,256		
2024 Operating Surplus	\$	4,595	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-		
2025 Budget Proposals	-\$	10,500	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-		
Contribution to Reserve	-\$	2,748	-\$	4,060	-\$	4,332	-\$	4,332	\$	9,536		
Closing Balance in Reserve	\$	18,980	\$	14,920	\$	10,588	\$	6,256	\$	15,792		

386 - Lee Bay		2025	2026		2027		2028		2	2029
Item	Α	mount	Amount		Amount		Amount		nount Am	
Opening Balance in Reserve	\$	243,504	\$ 2	41,151	\$ 2	231,629	\$ 2	21,699	\$	211,769
2024 Operating Surplus	\$	13,273	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2025 Budget Proposals	-\$	8,118	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Contribution to Reserve	-\$	7,508	-\$	9,522	-\$	9,930	-\$	9,930	\$	11,007

Staff Report to Finance Committee – November 25-26, 2024 2025 Round 1 Budget Proposal for Wastewater Treatment Plants [381-395] Page 10 of 10

391 - Curran Road	2025		2026		2027		2028		2029	
Item	-	Amount	ınt Amount		Amount		Amount		Amount	
Opening Balance in Reserve	\$	75,572	\$	49,780	\$	47,089	\$	44,022	\$	40,955
2024 Operating Surplus	\$	7,583	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2025 Budget Proposals	-\$	32,478	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Contribution to Reserve	-\$	897	-\$	2,691	-\$	3,067	-\$	3,067	\$	15,942
Closing Balance in Reserve	\$	49,780	\$	47,089	\$	44,022	\$	40,955	\$	56,897

394 - Painted Boat	2025		2026		2027		2028		2029					
Item		Amount	Amount		Amount Amount		Amount		Amount		Amount		An	nount
Opening Balance in Reserve	\$	82,816	\$	80,045	\$	76,192	\$	72,121	\$	68,050				
2024 Operating Surplus	\$	469	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-				
2025 Budget Proposals	-\$	2,500	-\$	2,000	-\$	2,000	-\$	2,000	-\$	2,000				
Contribution to Reserve	-\$	740	-\$	1,853	-\$	2,071	-\$	2,071	\$	9,255				
Closing Balance in Reserve	\$	80,045	\$	76,192	\$	72,121	\$	68,050	\$	75,305				

Reviewed	l by:		
CAO		Legislative	
CFO		Manager	
GM	X – R. Rosenboom	Other Staff	